**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**MAY 26 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HAYAT ABBOUD; FRANK ABBOUD; IBRAHIM ABBOUD; SAMIR ABBOUD; NADIA ABBOUD ZEIDAN,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY; AMTRAK,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 04-16420

D.C. No. CV-02-04140-VRW

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Vaughn R. Walker, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted May 19, 2006 San Francisco, California

Before: **B. FLETCHER**, **KOZINSKI** and **FISHER**, Circuit Judges.

Because the district court correctly determined that plaintiffs' negligence claims against Union Pacific Railroad Company are without merit under Rowland

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Cir. R. 36-3.

v. <u>Christian</u>, 443 P.2d 561 (Cal. 1968), and because the district court correctly determined that plaintiffs' negligence claims against Amtrak are completely preempted, <u>see CSX Transp.</u>, <u>Inc.</u> v. <u>Easterwood</u>, 507 U.S. 658, 675 (1993), the district court's thorough order granting summary judgment to defendants is **AFFIRMED**.

Judge Kozinski would affirm for precisely the reasons set forth in the district court's entirely correct order.